## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/714,824

Applicant(s)

Velke et al.

Examiner

Árpád Fábián Kovács

Group Art Unit 3671



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Árpád Fábián Kovács	(3)
(2) Joseph Rhoa	(4)
Date of Interview Apr 18, 2002	-
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	2) □ applicant's representative] e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 13	
Identification of prior art discussed:  None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  Applicant feels that claim 13 would be more appropriately presented in a different application.	
	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	ate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Agril Bikin Kova'es